

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,026	01/18/2002	James M. Florence	069078.0110	2122
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
T. Murray Smith, Esq.			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
Baker Botts L.I	L.P.			
Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		,	٧Ľ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/053,026	FLORENCE, JAMES M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	L. G. Lauchman	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT lute, cause the application to become ABA	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-15 and 18-25 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been	oplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not i	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/202</u>.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grasis et al (US 6,198,857).

As to Claims 1 and 16, Grasis et al discloses an optical multiplexing device comprising an optical filter having four paths shown in Fig. 1 and described in column 3, lines 31-47, and a redirecting section between the ports 48 and 40 for causing optical radiation traveling away from the filter to be redirected to travel toward the filter along the third optical path.

As to Claim 17, the apparatus of Claim 1 is capable of performing the method as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-15, 18-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 2, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the first, second, third and fourth optical paths are each contained at angularly spaced locations within a side surface of an imaginary right

Art Unit: 2877

substantially at said surface portion, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 4, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the redirecting section including a first and a second reflecting sections as claimed, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 7, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the redirecting section including an optical fiber and a lens section as claimed, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 10, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the filter responsive to optical radiation which impinges on the filter while traveling along a fifth optical path for causing said radiation from the fifth optical path to pass through the filter and thereafter travel away from the filter along said fourth path, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1.

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
- b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

 This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

3/31/04